INTRODUCED BY: PAUL BARDEN

PROPOSED NO.:

77-566

9/27/771

2

3

6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29 30

31

32

33

ordinance no. 3459

AN ORDINANCE relating to the zoning code, changing the sub-standard lot consolidation requirement; specifying that other regulations can result in a greater lot area requirement; amending Ordinance No. 2735, Section 3, and KCC 21.48.250.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY: SECTION 1. Ordinance 2735, Section 3, and KCC 21.48.250 are each amended to read as follows:

Two or more substandard lots or fractions or lots under the same ownership as of ((the-effeetive-date-of-the-ordinance codified-in-this-title)) June 13, 1976, which have common side lot lines and cannot individually satisfy the lot area and width requirements of the zone, shall only\be used, divided, transferred, sold or ownership changed in combinations which produce building sites that ((satisfy-the-requirements-of-the-zone-in-which-they are-located)) each have an area of seventy-two hundred square feet, with the following examptions:

- (1) One single-family dwelling may be erected on any combination of substandar@ 10/ts and fractions thereof which in total contain less then ((the-lot-area-requirement-for-the-zone)) seventytwo hundred square feet; provided, the owner of such lots does not own adjaining vacant property.
- (((2))) Two single-family-dwellings-may-be-erected-on-any-combination-%f-substandard-lots-or-fractions-thereof-which-in-total contain-at-least-one-and-one-half-but-less-than-twice-the-lot-area requirement; -- provided-that-two-building-sites-of-approximately equal-size-result-with-a-minimum-lot-width-of-forty-feet-and-the owner-of-such-lots-does-not-own-adjoining-vacant-property:))
- (<u>2</u>) In an RS-7200 zone, one-single family dwelling may be erected on any combination of substandard lots or fractions there-

of which in total contains at least five thousand square feet; provided that each building site shall have a minimum width of forty feet;

- (3) On substandard lots in two-family and multi-family zones one dwelling unit may be erected for each unit of required minimum lot area per dwelling unit contained therein.
- (4) In each of the above situations all other requirements of the zone shall be met. In addition, if such substandard lots are to be developed with on-site sewage disposal systems or in a Shoreline Management Area or other special area as defined by law, other regulations may require a greater lot area than required by this section or by the zone classification in which the lots are located.
- (((4))) (5) A legally created bt in a G. S or A zone, which contains at least seven thousand two hundred square feet and is at least sixty feet in width, may be used for a single-family dwelling regardless of the location and number of other lots under the same ownership $((\cdot))$; provided if such substandard

lots are to be developed with on-site sewage disposal systems, or in a Shoreline Management Area or other special area as defined by law, other regulations may require a greater lot area than required by this section or by the zone classification in which the lots are located. INTRODUCED AND READ for the first time this 20th day of June, 1977. PASSED this _____ day of October, 1977. KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON ATTEST: of the Council 27 h day of Action APPROVED this , 1977. King Courty Execu

RECEIVED



County Executive

King County Courthouse Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 344-4040

October 27, 1977

1 UC1 27 PN 2

Park V

TIKE COUNTY CO

Honorable Mike Lowry Chairman, King County Council B U I L D I N G

Dear Councilman Lowry:

Attached is Ordinance #3459 which I have vetoed.

This action is taken with some reluctance since I know the Council attempted to make the best of a difficult situation that has existed for several decades. Nevertheless, I find it impossible to justify subsection (2) which would allow 5,000 square foot lots in 7200 zones, even if there were the ability to combine lots to 7200 square feet.

For this reason I am returning the ordinance to the Council for its further consideration.

Sincerely

John D. Spellman County Executive

JDS:b

cc: Council Members